

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/679,175	10/03/2003	Terry L. Miller	03-308	2301	
7590 09/21/2004		EXAMINER			
Michael B. McNeil			PHAM, MINH CHAU THI		
Liell & McNeil Attorneys PC P.O. Box 2417			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Bloomington, IN 47402			1724		
			DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	\sim			
Office Action Summary		10/679,175	MILLER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Minh-Chau T. Pham	1724				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence addre	ss-			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any earn	Office Action Summary The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl Diperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period agree to reply will in the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONT 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS first, cause the application to become ABANDO g date of this communication, even if timely	CH(S) FROM e timely filed days will be considered timely, rom the mailing date of this common the mailing date of the common time of the common time. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). filed, may reduce any	unication.			
Status				7			
- 1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)□		— s action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrated Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or other subjects are subject to restriction.	wn from consideration.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.				
	Applican! may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	` '				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•	` '			
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All by Some * c) None of: 1. Cedified copies of the priority document 2. Codified copies of the priority document 3. Codies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attriched detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. is have been received in Applic rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Sta	ge			
Attachmen	at(s)						
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Information Pape	the of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Drafts	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		2)			

Application/Control Number: 10/679,175

Art Unit: 1724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Flynn et al (5,846,271), in view of Hoffman, Jr. et al (6,096,207).

Flynn et al disclose a filter assembly (10) comprising a filter head (12) attachment adjacent one end, a bowl (30) attachment adjacent an opposite end, and a filter element (52) mounted in the assembly. Flynn et al further disclose a filter head (12), an annular seal (36) between bowl (30) and the filter head (12), and the filter having end caps (70). Flynn et al also disclose a method of servicing a filtration portion of a fluid system comprising the steps of either detaching the filter from a filter head or reattaching a new filter back in a filter head. Claims 1-14 differ from the disclosure of Flynn et al in that the filter has a center support. Hoffman, Jr. et al disclose a center support (12) with a filter element (16) around the center support, a first end cap (16-1) in contact with the filter element at one end and a second end cap (16-2) in contact with the filter at the opposite end wherein first (16-1) and second (16-2) end caps are removably attached to the center support (12). Hoffman, Jr. et al disclose a method of servicing a filtration portion of a fluid system comprising the steps of detaching a filter element from a center support so that the filter can be replaced and reattaching a new filter to the center support. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the

Application/Control Number: 10/679,175

Art Unit: 1724

time the invention was made to provide a center support as taught by Hoffman, Jr. et al in the filtration apparatus of Flynn et al since the central support would provide firm support for the filter element from the force of fluid passing through, hence, the filtration efficiency would be improved significantly.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Taub (5,795,369) discloses a fluted filter media for a fiber bed.
- Ernst et al (6,723,149) disclose a separator for liquids.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh-Chau T. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-1163. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon/Tues/Thur/Fri 7:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Minh-Čhau Pham Patent Examiner

Art Unit: 1724

September 17, 2004